The following Ordinance was then presented in full:

ORDINANCE

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING AND ENACTING A CODIFICATION AND
REVISION OF THE ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF CLEVELAND TENNESSEE.

WHEREAS, some of the ordinances of the City of Cleveland are obsolete, and

WHEREAS, some of the other ordinances of the city are inconsistent with each other or
are otherwise inadequate, and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Cleveland, Tennessee, has caused its
ordinances of a general, continuing, and permanent application or of a penal nature to be codified
and revised and the same are embodied in a code of ordinances known as the "Cleveland Municipal Code," now, therefore:

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CLEVELAND,
TENNESSEE, THAT:

Section 1. Ordinances codified. The ordinances of the city of a general, continuing, and
permanent application or of a penal nature, as codified and revised in the following "titles,"
namely "titles" 1 to 20, both inclusive, are ordained and adopted as the "Cleveland Municipal Code," hereinafter referred to as the "municipal code."

Section 2. Ordinances repealed. All ordinances of a general, continuing, and permanent
application or of a penal nature not contained in the municipal code are hereby repealed from and
after the effective date of said code, except as hereinafter provided in Section 3 below.

Section 3. Ordinances saved from repeal. The repeal provided for in Section 2 of this
ordinance shall not affect: Any offense or act committed or done, or any penalty or forfeiture
incurred, or any contract or right established or accruing before the effective date of the municipal
code; any ordinance or resolution promising or requiring the payment of money by or to the city
or authorizing the issuance of any bonds or other evidence of said city's indebtedness; any budget
ordinance; any contract or obligation assumed by or in favor of said city; any ordinance
establishing a social security system or providing coverage under that system; any administrative
ordinances or resolutions not in conflict or inconsistent with the provisions of such code; the
portion of any ordinance not in conflict with such code which regulates speed, direction of travel,
passing, stopping, yielding, standing, or parking on any specifically named public street or way;
any right or franchise granted by the city; any ordinance dedicating, naming, establishing, locating,
relocating, opening, paving, widening, vacating, etc., any street or public way; any ordinance
establishing and prescribing the grade of any street; any ordinance providing for local
improvements and special assessments therefor; any ordinance dedicating or accepting any plat or
subdivision; any prosecution, suit, or other proceeding pending or any judgment rendered on or
prior to the effective date of said code; any zoning ordinance or amendment thereto or
amendment to the zoning map; nor shall such repeal affect any ordinance annexing territory to the
city.

Section 4. Continuation of existing provision. Insofar as the provisions of the
municipal code are the same as those of ordinances existing and in force on its effective date, said
provisions shall be considered to be continuations thereof and not as new enactments.

Section 5. Penalty clause. Unless otherwise specified in a title, chapter or section of the
municipal code, including the codes and ordinances adopted by reference, whenever in the
municipal code any act is prohibited or is made or declared to be a civil offense, or whenever in
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Councilman Bedwell moved that the Ordinance is voted for passage on first reading. The motion was seconded by Councilman Botts; and upon roll call, Councilmen Bedwell, Botts, Floyd, Johnson, Hicks, as well as Councilwoman Scott voted aye, Councilmen Johnson and Lyle voted no.
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Councilman Bedwell moved that the Ordinance for Adoption of the Revised City Code, heretofore passed on first reading March 11, 1926 and found in Minute Book 19; Page 185 be voted for passage on final reading. The motion was seconded by Councilman Botts; and upon roll call, Councilmen Bedwell, Botts, Floyd, Hicks as well as Councilwoman Scott voted aye, Councilmen Johnson and Lyle voted no.