



Procedural Issues (Right to Take)

Dear Reader:

The following document was created from the MTAS website ([mtas.tennessee.edu](https://www.mtas.tennessee.edu)). This website is maintained daily by MTAS staff and seeks to represent the most current information regarding issues relative to Tennessee municipal government.

We hope this information will be useful to you; reference to it will assist you with many of the questions that will arise in your tenure with municipal government. However, the *Tennessee Code Annotated* and other relevant laws or regulations should always be consulted before any action is taken based upon the contents of this document.

Please feel free to contact us if you have questions or comments regarding this information or any other MTAS website material.

Sincerely,

The University of Tennessee
Municipal Technical Advisory Service
1610 University Avenue
Knoxville, TN 37921-6741
865-974-0411 phone
865-974-0423 fax
www.mtas.tennessee.edu

Table of Contents

Procedural Issues (Right to Take)	3
---	---

Procedural Issues (Right to Take)

Reference Number: MTAS-1294

Since condemnation cases have the dual nature mentioned above, challenges to the condemner's right to take normally are resolved as a preliminary matter before the determination of the amount of just compensation to which the property owner is entitled. ^[62] The condemner has the burden of proof of establishing the right to take. ^[63] The determination of the right to take is a matter for the court and not the jury. ^[64] If the court finds that the condemner has the right to take, and the condemner posts the bond required by statute and takes possession of the property, the judgment on the right to take issue becomes final and must be appealed at that time. ^[65] Thus, there may be two final judgments in any condemnation action. ^[66]

Notes:

[62] *Harper v. Trenton Housing Authority*, *supra*; *Lebanon and Nashville Turnpike Co. v. Creveling*, 159 Tenn. 147, 17 S.W.2d 22 (1929); *City of Nashville v. Dad's Auto Accessories, Inc.*, *supra*; *Department of Highways v. Stepp*, *supra*; *Cunningham v. Memphis Railroad Terminal Co.*, 126 Tenn. 343, 149 S.W. 103 (1912); *Tennessee Central Railroad Co. v. Campbell*, 109 Tenn. 655, 73 S.W. 112 (1902) (*Campbell II*); *Shelby County v. Armour*, *supra*; *Morgan County v. Jones*, *supra*.

[63] *Alloway v. City of Nashville*, 88 Tenn. 510, 13 S.W. 123 (1890); *Morgan County v. Jones*, *supra*.

[64] *Department of Highways v. Stepp*, *supra*; *Tennessee Central Railroad Co. v. Campbell*, *supra* (*Campbell II*).

[65] *Georgia Industrial Realty Co. v. City of Chattanooga*, 163 Tenn. 435, 43 S.W.2d 490 (1931); *Cunningham v. Memphis Railroad Terminal Co.*, *supra*; *Tennessee Central Railroad Co. v. Campbell*, *supra* (*Campbell I*).

[66] *Tennessee Central Railroad Co. v. Campbell*, *supra* (*Campbell I*).

DISCLAIMER: The letters and publications written by the MTAS consultants were written based upon the law at the time and/or a specific sets of facts. The laws referenced in the letters and publications may have changed and/or the technical advice provided may not be applicable to your city or circumstances. Always consult with your city attorney or an MTAS consultant before taking any action based on information contained in this website.

Source URL (retrieved on 01/17/2020 - 1:15pm): <https://www.mtas.tennessee.edu/reference/procedural-issues-right-take>



Municipal Technical Advisory Service
INSTITUTE for PUBLIC SERVICE